SASSA Reports Cancellation of Tender Process to Constitutional Court

Johannesburg, October 15, 2015 – Net 1 UEPS Technologies, Inc. ("Net1" or the "Company") (NasdaqGS: UEPS; JSE: NT1) today provided a further update on the current SASSA tender process.

The Company previously reported that SASSA had issued a Request for Proposal ("RFP") in accordance with the instructions issued by the South African Constitutional Court ("Court"). After careful consideration of all the relevant factors, the Company decided to withdraw from the tender process and did not submit a bid.

SASSA provided the Court with an update regarding the current tender process today. The Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of SASSA has decided not to award the SASSA tender, in accordance with the recommendation received from the Bid Adjudication Committee ("BAC"). The BAC recommended that the tender not be awarded as a result of the non-responsiveness of all the bids received with the mandatory requirements contained in the RFP.

Accordingly, the BAC recommended that the CEO should allow the current contract with the Company to continue until completion of the five-year period for which the contract was initially awarded (March 31, 2017), in accordance with the Court's judgment of April 2014. The BAC also recommended that the CEO should file a report with the Court within 14 days of the decision not to award the tender, setting out all the relevant information on whether and when SASSA will be ready to assume the duty to pay grants itself. A full copy of SASSA's Court filing is available on the Company's website at www.net1.com.

The Company is committed to continue with the provision of a high level service to SASSA and the social grant beneficiaries in accordance with the service level agreement and the Court's order.

About Net1 (www.net1.com)

Net1 is a leading provider of alternative payment systems that leverage its Universal Electronic Payment System ("UEPS") or utilize its proprietary mobile technologies. The Company operates market-leading payment processors in South Africa and the Republic of Korea.

UEPS permits the Company to facilitate biometrically secure, real-time electronic transaction processing to unbanked and under-banked populations of developing economies around the world in an online or offline environment. Net1's UEPS/EMV solution is interoperable with global EMV standards that seamlessly enable access to all the UEPS functionality in a traditional EMV environment. In addition to payments, UEPS can be used for banking, healthcare management, payroll, remittances, voting and identification.

Net1's mobile technologies include its proprietary mobile payments solution - MVC,

which offers secure mobile-based payments, as well as mobile banking and prepaid value-added services in developed and emerging countries. The Company intends to deploy its varied mobile solutions through its ZAZOO business unit, which is an aggregation of innovative technology companies and is based in the United Kingdom. Net1 has a primary listing on the NASDAQ and a secondary listing on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange.

Forward Looking Statements

This announcement contains forward-looking statements that involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including without limitation, the risk of our being able to execute our business plan successfully without a contract with SASSA and to successfully expand our non-South African businesses. A discussion of various factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking statements are included in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to revise any of these statements to reflect future events.

Investor Relations Contact:

Dhruv Chopra Head of Investor Relations

Phone: +1-917-767-6722 Email: dchopra@net1.com

STATE ATTORNEY DX 298 PRETORIA

IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

CASE NO: CCT 48/2013

In the matter between:-

ALLPAY CONSOLIDATED

INVESTMENT HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD First Applicant

ALLPAY FRESS STATE (PTY) LTD Second Applicant

ALLPAY WESTERN CAPE (PTY) LTD

Third Applicant

ALLPAY GAUTENG (PTY) LTD Fourth Applicant

ALLPAY EASTERN CAPE (PTY) LTD Firth Applicant

ALLPAY KWAZULU NATAL (PTY) LTD Sixth Applicant

ALLPAY MPUMALANGA (PTY) LTD Seventh Applicant

ALLPAY LIMPOPO (PTY) LTD Eighth Applicant

ALLPAY NORTH WEST (PTY) LTD Nineth Applicant

ALLPAY NORTHERN CAPE (PTY) LTD

Tenth Applicant

MICAWBER 851 (PTY) LTD Eleventh Applicant

MICAWBER 852 (PTY) LTD

Twelfth Applicant

MICAWBER 853 (PTY) LTD

Thirteenth Applicant

MICAWBER 854 (PTY) LTD Fourteenth Applicant

and

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN SOCIAL SECURITY

AGENCY First Respondent

THE SOUTH AFRICAN SOCIAL

SECURITY AGENCY Second Respondent

CASH PAYMASTER SERVICE (PTY) LTD

Third Respondent

EZIDLUBHEDU INVESTMENT HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD Fourth Respondent FLASH SAVINGS AND CREDIT COOPERATIVE Fifth Respondent **ENLIGHTENED SECURITY FORCE (PTY) LTD** Sixth Respondent MOBA COMM (PTY) LTD Seventh Respondent **EMPILWENI PAYOUT SERVICES (PTY) LTD** Eighth Respondent PENSION MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD Nineth Respondent MASINGITA FINANCIAL SERVICE (PTY) LTD Tenth Respondent THE SOUTH AFRICAN POST OFFICE Eleventh Respondent ROMAN PROTECTION SOLUTIONS CC Twelfth Respondent **UBANK LIMITED** Thirteenth Respondent AFRICAN RENAISSANCE INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD Fourteenth Respondent STANDARD BANK GROUP LIMITED Fifteenth Respondent **NEW SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD** Sixteenth Respondent ITHALA LIMITED Seventeenth Respondent KTS TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CONSORTIUM Eighteenth Respondent THE SOUTH AFRICAN RESERVE BANK Nineteenth Respondent THE PAYMENT ASSOCIATION OF SOUTH AFRICA Twentieth Respondent

FILING SHEET

DOCUMENT

THE FIRST AND SECOND RESPONDENTS'

("SASSA"'S) PROGRESS REPORT IN RESPECT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BID EVALUATION COMMITTEES AND THE DECISION OF THE CHIEF

EXECUTVE OFFICER

FILED BY:

1ST AND 2ND RESPONDENTS' ATTORNEYS

THE STATE ATTORNEY

GROUND FLOOR

255 SCHOEMAN STREET

PRETORIA

PRIVATE BAG X91

PRETORIA

Ref: Tel: **1007/12/Z1/MM** 012 309 1501/02

Fax:

012 328 2662/3

Dx:

298 PRETORIA

Enq:

DIKELEDI MOLEPO

TO

THE REGISTRAR OF THE

CONSTITUTIONAL COURT

BRAAMFONTEIN

AND TO :

APPLICANTS ATTORNEYS

NORTONS INC

135 DAISY STREET

SANDTON

REF: A NORTON/ A ROETS

SANDTON

Tel: (011) 666 7560

Fax: 086 600 5529

Email: Anthony@nortonsinc.com

Ref: A Norton / A Roets

AND TO:

SMIT SEWGOOLAM INC

ATTORNEYS FOR 3RD RESPONDENTS

12 AVONWOLD ROAD CNR JAN SMUTS AVENUE

SAXONWOLD Tel: (011) 646 0006 Fax: (011) 646 0016

E-mail: tiaan@smitsew.co.za

Ref: T Jonker

AND TO:

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SOUTH AFRICA

ATTORNEYS FOR THE 19TH RESPONDENT

15 ALICE LANE **SANDTON**

TEL: (011) 685 8500 FAX: (011) 301 3200

E-MAIL: marelise.vanderwesthuizen@nortonrosefulbright.com /

Matthews.clark@nortonrosefulbright.com

REF: SRB46/ Ms M van der Westhuizen / Mr M Clark

AND TO:

PAYMENTS ASSOCIATION OF SOUTH AFRICA

20TH RESPONDENT 2ND FLOOR, D BUILDING SUNNYSIDE OFFICE PARK

32 PRINCESS OF WALES TERRACE

PARKTOWN **JOHANNESBURG** TEL: (011) 645 6760 FAX: (011) 645 6860

E-MAIL: PierreC@passa.org.za / WalterV@pasa.org.za

AND TO:

VAN HULSTEYNS ATTORNEYS

ATTORNEYS FOR FIRST AMICUS CURIAE 3RD FLOOR, SANDTON CITY OFFICE TOWER

158 FIFTH STREET, SANDHURST

SANDTON

TEL: (011) 523 5300 FAX: (011) 523 5326

E-MAIL: Daniel@vlaw.co.za /roslyne@vhlaw.co.za

Ref: A LEGG / D RAATH

AND TO:

LEGAL RESOURCES CENTRE

Attorneys for the Centre for Child Law Constitutional Litigation Unit 16th Floor, Bram Fischer House 20 Albert Street

Johannesburg Tel: (011) 836 9831 Fax: (011) 834 4273

E-mail naseema@lrc.org.za / sarah@lrc.org.za

Ref: 11075212L NASEEMAR FAKIR/ SARAH SEPHTON

IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

CASE CCT 48/13

In the matter between:

ALLPAY CONSOLIDATED

INVESTMENT HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD First Applicant

ALLPAY FREE STATE (PTY) LTD Second Applicant

ALLPAY WESTERN CAPE (PTY) LTD

Third Applicant

ALLPAY GAUTENG (PTY) LTD Fourth Applicant

ALLPAY EASTERN CAPE (PTY) LTD Fifth Applicant

ALLPAY KWAZULU NATAL (PTY) LTD Sixth Applicant

ALLPAY MPUMALANGA (PTY) LTD Seventh Applicant

ALLPAY LIMPOPO (PTY) LTD Eighth Applicant

ALLPAY NORTH WEST (PTY) LTD

Ninth Applicant

ALLPAY NORTHERN CAPE (PTY) LTD

Tenth Applicant

MICAWBER 851 (PTY) LTD Eleventh Applicant

MICAWBER 852 (PTY) LTD

Twelfth Applicant

MICAWBER 853 (PTY) LTD

Thirteenth Applicant

MICAWBER 854 (PTY) LTD Fourteenth Applicant

and

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE

SOUTH AFRICAN SOCIAL SECURITY AGENCY First Respondent

THE SOUTH AFRICAN SOCIAL SECURITY AGENCY
Second Respondent

CASH PAYMASTER SERVICES (PTY) LTD	Third Respondent
EZIDLUBHEDU INVESTMENT HOLDINGS (PTY) LTD	Fourth Respondent
FLASH SAVINGS AND CREDIT COOPERATIVE	Fifth Respondent
ENLIGHTENED SECURITY FORCE (PTY) LTD	Sixth Respondent
MOBA COMM (PTY) LTD	Seventh Respondent
EMPILWENI PAYOUT SERVICES (PTY) LTD	Eight Respondent
PENSION MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD	Ninth Respondent
MASINGITA FINANCIAL SERVICES (PTY) LTD	Tenth Respondent
THE SOUTH AFRICAN POST OFFICE	Eleventh Respondent
ROMAN PROTECTION SOLUTIONS CC	Twelfth Respondent
UBANK LIMITED	Thirteenth Respondent
AFRICAN RENAISSANCE INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT (PTY) LTD	Fourteenth Respondent
STANDARD BANK GROUP LIMITED	Fifteenth Respondent
NEW SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD	Sixteenth Respondent
ITHALA LIMITED	Seventeenth Respondent
KTS TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CONSORTIUM	Eighteenth Respondent
THE SOUTH AFRICAN RESERVE BANK	Nineteenth Respondent
THE PAYMENT ASSOCIATION OF SOUTH AFRICA	Twentieth Respondent

PROGRESS REPORT IN RESPECT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BID EVALUATION AND ADJUDICATION COMMITTEES; AND THE DECISION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

- Pursuant to the order made by the Constitutional Court on 17 April 2014:
 - 1.1. The BEC on 17 September 2015 finalised its evaluation of the bids received pursuant to the new tender, and made a recommendation in respect thereof to the BAC.
 - 1.2. The BAC on 6 October 2015 finalised its adjudication and made its recommendations to the Chief Executive Officer of SASSA
- The recommendation by the BEC is attached hereto marked "A", and the recommendation by the BAC is attached hereto marked "B".
- 3. The recommendation of the BEC is contained in item 5 of the BEC report and reads as follows:
 - "a) That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends to the Chief Executive Officer the cancellation and open readvertisement of the bid, reason being the non-responsiveness of all bids in terms of administrative functionality, or
 - b) That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends the cancellation of the bid and a closed bid process with three (3) bidders. The Bid Evaluation Committee makes this recommendation placing reliance on Treasury Regulations 16A6. 3(c) read with 16A6.4."

- 4. The recommendation of the BAC is contained in item 7 of the BAC report as follows:
 - "7.1 That the Chief Executive Officer should not award the bid as a result of non-responsiveness of all the bids in terms of mandatory requirements of the Terms of Reference.
 - 7.2 That the Chief Executive Officer should comply with the directive of the Constitutional Court Judgement of April 2014 which states that "if the tender is not awarded, the declaration of invalidity of the contract will be further suspended until completion of the five year period for which the contract was initially awarded.
 - 7.3 That the Chief Executive Officer allows the initial running contract that was awarded to continue until the end of the contract.
 - 7.4 That the current bid must not be re-advertised so as to give effect to paragraph 7.3 above.
 - 7.5 That the Chief Executive Officer should within 14 days of the decision not to award the tender SASSA must lodge a report with the Registrar of [the Constitutional Court] setting out all the relevant information on whether and when it will be ready to assume the duty to pay grants itself."
- Pursuant to the BAC recommendation, the Chief Executive Officer of SASSA on 14 October 2015 made a decision, a copy of which is attached marked "C".

6. The Chief Executive Officer's decision is to approve the BAC recommendation and reads as follows:

"After carefully considering all the relevant documentation regarding this tender process, my decision is as follows. The recommendation of the Bid Adjudication Committee set out above in 7.1 to 7.5 is approved, resulting in SASSA not awarding SASSA 11/14/BS bid for the payment of social grants."

- 7. SASSA will comply with the obligation as ordered in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.3 of this Court's order dated 17 April 2014, and also reminds Cash Paymaster of its obligation in terms of paragraph 4.2 of the said order.
- 8. SASSA continues, and will continue, to comply with its remaining obligations in terms of the Court's order dated 17 April 2014.

Dumisile Ndlovu Project Manager 15 October 2015



SUBMISSION

TO: CHAIRPERSON: PAYMENT TENDER: BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE

FROM: CHAIRPERSON: PAYMENT TENDER: BID EVALUATION COMMITTEE

BRANCH: STRATEGY AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

UNIT STRATEGY AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DATE: 17th SEPTEMBER 2015
BID EVALUATION COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PROVISION OF PAYMENT SERVICES FOR SOCIAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS.

REF: SASSA:11/14/BS/2

1. PURPOSE

To present the Bid Evaluation Committee report and its recommendation for the tender for the provision of Payment Services for Social Assistance Benefits to the Bid Adjudication for consideration and recommendation to the Chief Executive Officer

2. BACKGROUND

As per Constitutional Court Judgment of April 2014 (Annexure A), SASSA re-advertised the Payment Tender through the Government Tender Bulletin on the 10th October 2014 and other media in October 2014 with the closing date of November 2014 and the compulsory briefing session held on the 28 October 2014. Upon advertisement of the tender, the Terms of Reference were legally challenged and that gave birth to the court process which resulted in the amendment of the Terms of Reference and the running period extended to 17 May 2015 as directed by the Constitutional Court on the 24 March 2015 (Annexure B). Since the 17th May was on a Sunday, the tender closed the following day on a Monday, the 18th May 2015.



But in which Social Social Social Agency field in the second of the second of the control of the second of the second of the control of the second of the se



2.1 COMPULSORY BRIEFING SESSION

- 2.1.1 A compulsory briefing session was held where clarification was provided on questions concerning the requirements of the RFP
- 2.1.2 All bidders who attended the compulsory briefing session completed and signed an attendance register.
- 2.1.3 The compulsory briefing session was held as follows

Date 28 October 2014
Venue Premier Hotel

Stanza Bopape Street

Arcadia Pretoria

Time 10h00

- 2.1.4 Briefing Procedure was as follows -
 - On the briefing session questions for clarity were attended and responded to during the session
 - The Bidder/s were required to further submit written questions if necessary to SASSA by 15 January 2015
 - A number of prospective bidders submitted written questions by the required date.
 - SASSA provided written responses to all Bidder/s by the targeted of 19 January 2015

2.2 CLOSURE OF THE BID

On the 18 May 2015 Supply Chain Management closed the tender at 11h00 and a total of three (3) bids were received. (Annexure: C)

No	Bidder Name	Date Received	Time Received	
1.	Durban Knight Investments T/A Empire Joint Venture	18 May 2015	11h00	
2.	Vodacom (Pty) Ltd	18 May 2015	11h00	
3.	The Standard Bank of South Africa	18 May 2015	11h00	

Auditor General of South Africa SASSA Internal Audit, Security Management Services and National Treasury who were duly invited attended the closing session. From the bidders' side, only Vodacom attended the closing/opening session (Annexure: D)

The Agency published all the names of the bidders in the SASSA website on the 26 May 2015, (www.sassa.gov.za) as stipulated in the "National Treasury Instruction Note on Enhancing Compliance Monitoring and Improving Transparency and Accountability in Supply Chain Management" which directs that within ten (10) working days after the closure of any advertised competitive bid. Institutions must publish on its website the names of all bidders that submitted bids in relation to that particular advertisement. The information should remain on the website for at least thirty (30) days.

2.3 ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINCE

The Administrative Team appointed by the Accounting Officer commenced with an Administrative Compliance verification process on 19th May 2015 and the report (ANNEXURE: E) was presented to the Bid Evaluation Committee on the 7th September 2015, which is the first phase of stage one (1) of the Evaluation process functionality evaluation

- 2.3.1 According to the Terms of Reference (Paragraph 5). SASSA will disqualify bidders who
- 2.3.1.1 failed to attend the compulsory briefing session
- 2,3.1.2 fails to submit all mandatory documents specified in the RFP submits information that is fraudulent, factually untrue, for example memberships that do not exist, B-BBEE credentials and so forth
- 2.3.1.3 has been blacklisted by any Government department/entity for irregular procurement activities:
- 2 3 1 4 has failed to submit a valid Tax Clearance certificate from SARS or to provide proof of suitable arrangements agreed upon with SARS
- 2.3.1.5 submits a Firm Price in excess of R14.50 (Fourteen Rand Fifty Cents) VAT inclusive.
- 2.3.1.6 fails to submit a detailed budget for the Firm Price offering
- 2.3.1.7 receives information not available to other Bidders that may result in such Bidder/s having an unfair advantage.

2.3.1.8 Fails to meet the mandatory requirements below

Condition	Scoring Method	Signature
Biometric identification is a mandatory requirement which will be used for monthly proof of life before all payments for all Beneficiaries		
The Bidder/s agrees to deliver Grants to Beneficiaries who are disabled. frail and/or older than 75 years at their homes or institutions where they may reside or as directed by SASSA (This option is subject to a request by the Beneficiary and approval by SASSA)		
The Bidder/s agrees that there will be $\underline{\text{NO}}$ payments, transfers. EFT debits stop orders deductions or other services facilitated through the Beneficiary account unless expressly authorised by SASSA.		1
The Bidder/s agrees to a Firm Price of a maximum of R14.50 (all inclusive –service and VAT) The Bidder agrees to provide a detailed phase-in and phase-out plan:	Agreed/ Not Agreed Agreed/ Not Agreed	

NB:

- a. The Bidder/s is required to tick the applicable option and sign on each of the requirements listed in the table above
- **b.**Bidders had to respond to all mandatory elements and failure to do so would disqualify the bidders
- 2.3.1.9 The Terms of Reference further required of Joint Ventures/Consortia to submit the following documents:
- 2.3 1.9 1 a tax certificate for each partner in the consortium/joint venture:
- 2.3.1.9.2 Joint venture/consortium agreements; and
- 2 3 1 9 3 $^\circ$ Individual SBD 4 $^\circ$ 8 and 9 for each partner in the joint venture/consortium

3. COMMUNICATION WITH BIDDERS

Correspondence was written to all three (3) bidders for ciarity and other administrative requirements. Correspondence attached as (Annexure: F)



4. REASONS FOR DISQUALIFICATION

4.1 Durban Knight Investments T/A Empire Joint Venture

Discussion:

Upon closure of the bid process. SASSA could not ascertain the bidding company in respect of the Durban Knight Investments bid as the SBD 1 form that was submitted indicated the bidder name as Durban Knight Investments T/A Empire Joint Venture, whereas the supporting documentation including the Tax Clearance Certificate indicated the name as Durban Knight Investments (Pty) Ltd. Furthermore, the SBD 3.1 SBD 4, SBD 8 and SBD 9 indicated the name of the bidder as Empire Joint Venture. The Company was requested to clarify who the bidder was It was important to establish whether the bidder was Empire Joint Venture or Durban Knight Investments (Pty). (Ltd.) as this will have a bearing on the compliance matters on mandatory requirements in respect of the submission of supporting documentation.

The Committee noted the correspondence between SASSA and Durban Knight Investments (Pty) Ltd. Following the initial response, the question as to who the bidder is, was not satisfactorily responded to and further correspondence ensued. However upon receipt of the last response from Durban Knight investments (Pty) Ltd. it was then clarified that the bidder is actually Durban Knight Investments (Pty) Ltd. which in turn had intended to sub-contract 40% of the bid work to the South African Post Office (SAPO) and U-Bank.

The Committee therefore resolved that the assessment of the bid at stage 1 should be based on this clarity provided by the bidder. The assessment of the committee on this bid will be based on compliance of submitted documents of Durban Knight Investments (Pty) Ltd in respect of the mandatory requirements as set out in the REP.

4.1.1 Sub-contractor: U Bank:

- a) The bidder did not submit an Original and Valid Tax Clearance Certificate by date and time of closure of the bid
- b) The bidder therefore did not comply with mandatory requirement as in paragraph 2.3.1.4 above as well as paragraph 4 of SBD .2.

4.2 Vodacom (Pty) Ltd

4.2.1 Sub-Contractor: Fidelity Security Management Services

- a) The Tax Clearance Certificate of the said sub-contractor expired on the 26 March 2015 which is the date before the closing date of the bid, 18 May 2015. Technically the bidder did not submit an original and valid tax clearance certificate by the date and time of closure of the bid.
- b) The bidder therefore did not comply with mandatory requirement as in paragraph 2 3 1 4 above as well as paragraph 4 of SBD 2

4.2.2 Sub-Contractor: Bid Vest Bank Limited

- The bidder did not submit an Original and Valid Tax Clearance Certificate by date and time of the closure of the bid.
- b) The bidder therefore did not comply with mandatory requirement as in paragraph 2.3 1.4 above as well as paragraph 4 of SBD 2.

4.2.3 Sub-Contractor: Tecera Technologies

- a) Upon validation of Tecera Technologies B-BBEE certificate. Marco Leal, who is the author of the letter, was confirmed to be not a member under Protax Group, which therefore gave him no authority to issue such letter.
- b) This therefore is in contravention of Paragraph 2.3.1.2 of the submission

4.3 The Standard Bank of South Africa

- a) The bidder offered and confirmed a Firm Price in excess of R14 50 (Fourteen Rand Fifty Cents) VAT inclusive, which is R16 50
- b) The bidder therefore did not comply with the mandatory requirement of a fixed price of R 14 50 as per the table in paragraph 2 3 1 8 of the submission

5. RECOMMENDATION

- a) That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends to the Chief Executive Officer the cancellation and open re-advertisement of the bid reason being the non-responsiveness of all bids in terms of administrative functionality, or
- b) That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends the cancellation of the bid and a closed bid process with three (3) bidders. The Bid Evaluation Committee makes this recommendation placing reliance on Treasury Regulations 16A6 3(c) read with 16A6 4.

Ms. Nelisiwe Vilakazi Mr. Mokoditloa Eliakim (Alec) Moemi Member: Bid Evaluation Committee Member: Bid Evaluation Committee Deputy Director-General: Strategy & Director-General Organisational Transformation Department of Sports and Recreation South Department of Social Development Africa Recommend/Not Recommended Recommend/Not Recommended Ms. Dumisile Ndlovu Ms. Zodwa Mvulane Member: Bid Evaluation Committee Member: Bid Evaluation Committee General Manager: Supply Chain Management Regional Executive Manager: SASSA North-West Region South African Social Security Agency South African Social Security Agency Recommend/Not Recommended Recommend/Not-Recommended

4.3 The Standard Bank of South Africa

- a) The bidder offered and confirmed a Firm Price in excess of R14_50 (Fourteen Rand Fifty Cents) VAT inclusive, which is R16.50
- b) The bidder therefore did not comply with the mandatory requirement of a fixed price of R 14.50 as per the table in paragraph 2.3.1.8 of the submission

5 RECOMMENDATION

- a) That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends to the Chief Executive Officer the cancellation and open re-advertisement of the bid, reason being the non-responsiveness of all bids in terms of administrative functionality, or
- b) That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends the cancellation of the bid and a closed bid process with three (3) bidders. The Bid Evaluation Committee makes this recommendation placing reliance on Treasury Regulations 16A6.3(c) read with 16A6.4

Mr. Themba Matlou

Chairperson: Bid Evaluation Committee

Regional Executive Manager: SASSA

Gauteng Region

South African Social Security Agency

Recommend/Not Recommended

BLANK



66 B 55



SUBMISSION

TO: CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICCER

FROM: CHAIRPERSON: PAYMENT TENDER : BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE

DATE: 6TH OCTOBER 2015

BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PROVISION SUBJECT:

OF PAYMENT SERVICES FOR SOCIAL ASSISTANCE BENEFITS.

REF: SASSA:11/14/BS/2

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this report/submission is to make recommendation(s) to the Accounting Authority based on the deliberations of the Bid Adjudication Committee for her consideration and approval.

2. BACKGROUND

SASSA had appointed the new Bid Adjudication Committee to adjudicate the new tender process in line with the Constitutional Court Judgment of April 2014. The Bid Adjudication Committee had had their first sitting on 06 October 2015 following the recommendations that were made by the Bid Evaluation Committee, and their second sitting to finitise the process was on the 08 October 2015.

Amongst other reasons that had initiated this process was that the Contract for the payment of Social Grants between SASSA and CPS was declared invalid. Declaration of invalidity was suspended pending the decision of SASSA to award a new tender after the completion of the tender process.

SASSA was therefore required to initiate a new tender process for the payment of social grants within 30 days of the court order. To that effect, SASSA advertised the tender through the Government Tender Bulletin and other media on 10 October 2014 with the closing date of the 21st November 2014.

South African Social Security Agents

A Year mouse in the Proposition Subting Con Seeting & Proposition Street Missioner - Proposition Proposition (Proposition of Proposition of Proposition



The BAC had seated to evaluate the three bidders proposals Le. Durban Knight Investments T/A Empire Joint Venture, Vodacom (Pty) Ltd; and The Standard Bank of South Africa in response to the tender that was advertised on the 10th October 2014, followed by a compulsory briefing session held on the 28 October 2014.

The Terms of Reference were legally challenged and that gave birth to the court process which resulted in the amendment of the Terms of Reference and the running period was further extended to 17 May 2015 as directed by the Constitutional Court on the 24 March 2015 (Annexure A).

3. LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

The Bid Adjudication Committee meeting was taken through the legislative framework as per documents listed below

xure: B)	Code of Conduct: Practice Note No 4 of 2003	***
xure: C)	BAC Code of Conduct	ď,
xure: D)	Supply Chain Management Implementation	e\$
xure: E)	Protection of Information Act 84 0f 1982	->-
xure: F)	Public Financial Management Act No 1 of 1999	400
xure: G)	Treesury Regulation 16A	400
xure: H)	Implementation Guide: Preferential Procurement Regulations Act 2011	*50
	Procedure Guide for Bid Adjudication Committee (Anne	100
xure: J)	Con-Court Judgement : April 2014	000
xure: K)	Con-Court Directives, March 2015	¢,
exure: J)	Procedure Guide for Bid Adjudication Committee (Anna Con-Court Judgement April 2014	e e

4. BID EVALUATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Bid Evaluation Committee Report (Annexure:L) was presented to the Bid Adjudication Committee meetings and it was noted as follows:

4.1 Durban Knight Investment Va Empire Joint venture

Durban Knight Investment T/A Empire Joint venture was disqualified on the basis of non-compliance with the Tax Clearance certificate requirement for its sub-contractor (U Bank) which was not submitted on the closing date and time of the bid as directed in the SBD 2 paragraph 4 and as a mandatory requirements of the Terms of Reference. The Bid Adjudication Committee further noted that the South African Post Office also a sub-contractor was compliant in this regard.

Aban Alnean Social Security Agency

encourage of the particles of the control of the course of

www.ess.j



4.2 Vodacom (Pty) Ltd

The Tax Clearance Certificate of the sub-contractor (Fidelity Security Management Services) expired on the 26 March 2015 which is the date before the closing date of the bid. 18 May 2015. Technically the bidder did not submit an original and valid tax clearance certificate by the date and time of closure of the bid and therefore the bidder did not comply with paragraph 4 of SBD: 2 and mandatory requirement as in paragraph 5.1 1.4 of the Terms of Reference.

The bidder did not submit an Original and Valid Tax Clearance Certificate for the subcontractor (Bid Vest Bank Limited) by date and time of the closure of the bid and therefore did not comply with mandatory requirement as in paragraph 4 of SBD 2 as well as paragraph 5.1.1.4 of the Terms of Reference

Upon validation of Tecera Technologies B-BBEE certificate. Marco Leal, who is the author of the letter, was confirmed to be not a member under Protax Group, which therefore gave him no authority to issue such letter and this therefore is in contravention of Paragraph 5.1.1.2 of the TOR, which states that failure to submit all mandatory documents specified in the RFP; submits information that is fraudulent, factually untrue, for example membership that do not exist, B-BBEE credentials, and so forth,

4.3 The Standard Bank of South Africa

The bidder offered and confirmed a Firm Price in excess of R14, 50 (Fourteen Rand Fifty Cents) VAT inclusive, which is R16.50 and therefore did not comply with the mandatory requirement of a fixed price of R 14.50 as per the table in paragraph 5.3.1 of the Terms of Reference

The Bid Adjudication Committee was further given clarity on the evaluation process followed by the committee, which considered the mandatory requirements of the Terms of Reference and disqualified bids for non-compliance to the said mandatory requirements

5. BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE DELIBERATIONS

5.1 The Bid Adjudication Committee noted that all bidders were given same opportunity prior to the closure of the bid to prepare and submit all the required documents including the Tax Clearance Certificate. It was further noted that after the closing date of the bid, correspondence was done to all the three (3) bidders who submitted proposals as reflected on the Bid Evaluation Report.



Court in regard by model to the region of th







- 5.2 The Bid Adjudication Committee expressed concern over the non-compliancy to the mandatory requirements by all three (3) bidders.
- 5.3 The Bid Adjudication Committee's assessment of the Bid Evaluation Committee Report was that the report was objective and fair and that disqualification of bids was based on the objective information. The Bid Adjudication Committee had a general consensus on the objectivity and fairness of the Bid Evaluation Committee Report.
- 5.4 The Bid Adjudication Committee raised a concern that the recommendation(s) made by the Bid Evaluation Committee Report read as if the Bid Adjudication Committee had already deliberated and recommended.
- 5.5 The Bid Adjudication Committee deliberated on the Bid Evaluation Committee recommendations which stated that:
 - a. That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends to the Chief Executive Officer the cancellation and open re-advertisement of the bid, reason being the non-responsiveness of all bids in terms of administrative functionality; or
 - b. That the Bid Adjudication Committee recommends the cancellation of the bid and a closed bid process with three (3) bidders. The Bid Evaluation Committee makes this recommendation placing reliance on Treasury Regulations 16A6 3(c) read with 16A6 4.

6. CONCLUSION

- 6.1 The Bid Adjudication Committee's assessment of the Bid Evaluation Committee process followed was that it was objective, fair, transparent and equitable.
- 6.2 The Bid Adjudication committee concluded that indeed all three bids did not comply with mandatory requirements and therefore they are all disqualified.
- 6.3 The Bid Adjudication Committee concluded that the recommendation of the Bid Evaluation Committee that the bid should be re-advertised does not take into account that this bid was issued, awarded and challenged in court before.
- 6.4 The Bid Evaluation Committee recommendation to re-advertise is also not consistent with the Constitutional Court order of April 2014 [78] paragraph 4 which states that: "If the tender is not awarded, the declaration of invalidity of the contract in paragraph 1 above will be further suspended until completion of the five-year period for which the contract was initially awarded."
- 6.5 Based on paragraphs 6.3 and 6.4, the Bid Adjudication Committee concluded that this current bid should not be re-advertised

South Alegan Social Smarth Askins

M #





7. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7.1 That the Chief Executive Officer should not award the bid as a result of non-responsiveness of all bids in terms of mandatory requirements of the Terms of Reference.
- 7.2 That the Chief Executive Officer should comply with the directive of the Constitutional Court Judgment of April 2014 which states that "if the tender is not awarded, the declaration of invalidity of the contract will be further suspended until completion of the five-year period for which the contract was initially awarded"
- 7.3 That the Chief Executive Officer allows the initial running contract that was awarded to continue until the end of the contract
- 7.4 That the current bid must not be re-advertised so as to give effect to paragraph 7.3 above
- 7.5 That the Chief Executive Officer should within 14 days of the decision not to award the tender SASSA must lodge a report with the Registrar of this court setting out all the relevant information on whether and when it will be ready to assume the duty to pay grants itself

Mr. Thokozani Magwaza

Chairperson: Bid Adjudication Committee

Department of Social Development

Recommend/Not Recommended

Ms. Kgadi Phahlane

Member: Bid Adjudication Committee

Department of Social Development

Recommend/Not Recommended

Dr. Sam Makhudu Gulube

Member: Bid Adjudication Committee

Department of Defence

Recommend/Not Recommended

APOLOGISED

Amambassador Sonto Kudjoe

Member: Bid Adjudication Committee

State Security Agency

Recommend/Not Recommended

South Atheodo British Stocking Agricul



祖林

(c) Andrew Samer Die Gesteller (1994) (19

66 C 55

CONFIDENTIAL



RECOMENDATION BY BID ADJUDICATION COMMITTEE

Date:	8th O	ctober 2015	0.19110.0.00000000000000000000000000000	Bid No:SASSA:1	Bid No:SASSA:11/14/BS/2		
Doccrintian	Dravinia					CALLED LANGUE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Jesonphon.	LIONISIO	n or Payment :	services for Socia	I Assistance Benefits	**************************************	to the term of the second seco	
Capa		Approved	Not Approved	Refer matter back	Noted	Recommend	
Chief Exc							
				1		i	
he Rid Adi	idication	Committee of	ita mantina kati				
hat:	adiodijo::	oommittee at	us meeting neta	on Thursday , 8 th Oc	tober 2015	i recommended	
7 1 That	tha Chiaf	Evansili Off					
of all	nie Omei	Executive Offi	cer should not av	vard the bid as a resu	ift of non-	esponsivenes:	
				of the Terms of Refe			
7.2 I hat	the Chie	f Executive O	fficer should cor	nply with the direct	ive of the	Constitutiona	
Cour	t Judgmei	nt of April 2014	which states that	it "if the tender is not	awarded,	the declaration	
of in	validity of	the contract w	ill be further susp	oended until completi	on of the f	ive-year period	
for w	hich the c	ontract was in	itially awarded"				
7.3 That	the Chie	f Executive O	fficer allows the	initial running contra	act that w	as awarded to	
conti	nue until t	he end of the	contract.	3		as awarded (c	
7.4 That	the curre	nt bid must no	t be re-advertised	so as to give effect to			
7.5 That	the Chief	f Executive Of	ficer should with	in 14 days of the de	o paragraf	m 7.3 above.	
tende	r SASSA	must lodge a r	enort with the De	gistrar of this court s	cision no	t to award the	
inform	nation on	Whether and	than it will be	gistrar or this court s	etting out	all the relevant	
		Wilculet and W	men it will be teal	dy to assume the duty	to pay gr	ants itself.	
mments:	~~						
Age of the source	reful	ty consis	army cel	t the reliebe	of dex	en tetes	
Keynny		a comme describe	he process	s, my deci	0.00m	C3	
Keyara	leavers	. The re	2000 herman	Les trolish of	-11 0 D	/	
Keyara Layual	leady	The re	economic de la	set aux di	the B	Sec	
Kegara Adjual 2016 NOF	leaters Leafus 2.5	the re	ared 1	destales de	102 B 2002 2005	ASS A	

baletersein 14/10/2015 South Avietal Special Security Agence,

CARSA Hazar (C.) ISS NOOD Braining Lin Beares & Shootian Is Shootia Hazar (Program Edg ABBBS) Historian (Program 2005) Al (27 % Alth 1004 (Pag - 2) 10 Apr 100 (Imple Hessin) gip (1